

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Was there a significant difference in sleep shifts in the high school population due to the COVID-19 pandemic depending on chronotype? A nationwide cross-sectional study

Pavol Pivovarnicek na Ludmila Jancokova na Robert Vysehradsky na Lukas Hricko na Rivia Gavliakova na Jozef Sykora na Robert Vysehradsky na Lukas Hricko na Rivia Gavliakova na Jozef Sykora na Robert Vysehradsky na Lukas Hricko na Rivia Gavliakova na Robert Vysehradsky na Lukas Hricko na Robert Vysehradsky na

^aDepartment of Physical Education and Sports, Faculty of Arts, Matej Bel University, Banská Bystrica, Slovakia; ^bCentre for Primary Immunodeficiencies, Clinic of Pneumology and Phthisiology, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, Martin University Hospital, Martin, Slovakia; ^cDepartment of Pathophysiology and Biomedical Center Martin, Jessenius Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava, Martin University Hospital, Martin, Slovakia; ^dResearch Sport Center, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to detect whether the COVID-19 pandemic has caused changes in the sleep cycle (subjective sleep shifts) of high school students divided into a sample of young women – W (n = 1999, age = 17.65 ± 2.39 y) and young men – M (n = 1094, age = 17.49 ± 1.74 y) in Slovakia depending on circadian preference in comparison with the term before COVID-19. The present cross-sectional study employed a self-reported standardized questionnaire (Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire) to study circadian preference, which was complemented by a question focused on subjective sleep shifts before and during the pandemic. The results revealed significant strong dependence between circadian preference and subjective sleep shift in both W ($\chi^2_{(8)} = 153.1$, p < .01, Cramer's V = .20, p < .01) and M ($\chi^2_{(8)} = 98.3$, p < .01, Cramer's V = .21, p < .01). The delay of the sleep cycle has mainly become apparent in the case of definite evening types (W: 75.7%; M: 71.8%) and moderate evening types (W: 83.1%; M: 70.3%). The delay also prevailed in the intermediate types (W: 61.9%; M: 53.8%). Subjective sleep shifts were not confirmed (W: 93.8%; M: 35.3%) in the definite morning type. The sleep cycle was changed to earlier hours of definite morning types (W: 6.3%; M: 52.9%). It is necessary to focus on definite and moderate evening types and regulate the unsuitable state to time shift of the sleep cycle.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 19 April 2023 Revised 18 September 2023 Accepted 26 September 2023

KEYWORDS

Circadian preference; COVID-19 pandemic; Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire; adolescence; sleep-wake behaviour